



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,817	10/07/2004	Tsung-I Lin	MTKP0148USA	5816
27765 7590 04/09/2007 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			EXAMINER BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	04/09/2007	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/09/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com  
Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com  
mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/711,817

Applicant(s)

LIN ET AL.

Examiner

William L. Bashore

Art Unit

2176

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 January 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5-10,14-18,20,21 and 23-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5-10,14-18,20,21 and 23-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to:
  - a. Amendment filed 1/24/2007, to the original application filed on 10/7/2004 with foreign priority to **6/18/2004**; and
  - b. Information Disclosure Statement filed on 8/23/2005. Please note that the new examiner of record for this case is William L. Bashore.
2. Claims 1, 5-10, 14-18, 20-21, 23-25 pending. Claims 2-4, 11-13, 19, 22 have been canceled. Claims 24-25 have been added. Claims 1, 10, 18, and 21 are independent claims.

### *Priority*

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 5-6, 9-10, 14-18, 20-21, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0054745.

**Regarding independent claims 1**, Lee discloses a multi-language system (see Title and Abstract), comprising:

Lee teaches the system being applied in a mobile unit wherein the display is a Man-Machine Interface (see Title).

➤ *an interface module utilized for generating a user interface* (see paragraphs [0010] and [0034]: Lee teaches method and system of supporting multiple languages on a mobile telephone and displaying a menu and messages on a user display interface);

➤ *a language information module comprising at least one identification string and at least one language information set, each identification string corresponding to a language information set, and each language information set representing a natural language; and*

*a font database containing at least one font set, each font set corresponding to a language information set and containing at least one font file for representing the natural language corresponding to the language information set* (see paragraphs [0022-0023] and [0026-0034]: Lee teaches a language module comprising an identification string set and language code fields and also teaches a font set that corresponds to a language information set);

➤ *wherein according to the language information set stored in the language information module, the interface module is utilized for reading the font set corresponding to the natural language which corresponds to the language information set to select and display the font file(s) on the user interface* (see paragraph [0034]: Lee teaches a formation of display interface screen on a mobile communication terminal when a user selects a language and font).

Lee teaches an identification string that is defined in GSM specifications (i.e., SSC string) (see paragraphs [0006] and [0028-0029]).

Lee teaches wherein the mobile unit conforms to the global system for mobile communications (GSM) specification (see paragraph [0006]).

**Regarding claims 5, 14, 20, and 23**, Lee teaches wherein the language module is a configuration file (see Abstract and paragraphs [0022-0023] *et seq.*).

**Regarding claim 6**, Lee teaches wherein deleting or inserting a specific natural language causes the corresponding identification string, language information set, and font set to act accordingly (see paragraphs [0036-0042]: A user may request a specific natural language, whereupon the string set, language codes, and font sets are inserted into the language module and font database).

**Independent claim 10** incorporates substantially similar subject matter as independent claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

**Regarding claim 17**, Lee teaches the system being applied in a mobile unit wherein the display is a Man-Machine Interface (see Title).

**With respect to independent claims 18 and 21**, please refer to the rationale relied upon to reject independent claim 1. Furthermore, Lee teaches wherein deleting or inserting a specific natural language causes the corresponding identification string, language information set, and font set to act accordingly (see paragraphs [0036-0042]: A user may request a specific natural language, whereupon the string set, language codes, and font sets are inserted into the language module and font database).

**Regarding dependent claim 24**, Lee discloses a mobile communication terminal (Lee paragraph [0008], also Figure 1), which typically utilizes one or more processor(s).

**Regarding dependent claim 25**, Lee teaches an identification string that is defined in GSM specifications (i.e., SSC string) (see paragraphs [0006] and [0028-0029]).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0054745.**

**Regarding claims 7 and 8**, Lee teaches storing the language module, interface module, and font set into a memory storage device, but does not explicitly teach storing the language module, interface module, and font set into separate storage areas.

However, it was commonly known to those of ordinary skill in the art and would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to store data into separate storage areas for the motivational purpose of maximizing compatibility, portability, space and time and minimizing anomalies.

***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments filed 1/24/2007 have been fully and carefully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the cited art of record does not teach neither an SSC string, nor a GSM specification. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Lee teaches SSC strings, see especially Lee paragraph [0029] which discusses strings, etc. In addition, Lee teaches GSM at paragraph [0006].

***Conclusion***

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2176

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

*William L. Bashore*  
**WILLIAM BASHORE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

April 1, 2007